Received 11 May 2006 Accepted 23 May 2006

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

San-Jun Peng,^{a*} Cong-Shan Zhou^b and Tao Yang^b

^a Department of Chemistry and Environmental Engineering, Changsha University of Science and Technology, Changsha 410076, People's Republic of China, and ^bDepartment of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Hunan Institute of Science and Technology, Yueyang 414006, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: sanjunpeng@163.com

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study $T = 298 K$ Mean σ (C–C) = 0.018 Å R factor = 0.066 wR factor = 0.130 Data-to-parameter ratio = 19.8

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

In the title mononuclear zinc(II) complex, $[ZnBr_2(C_{13}H_{18} Cl₂N₂O$], there are two independent complex molecules in the asymmetric unit. Each Zn^{II} atom is four-coordinated by the imine N and phenolate O atoms of the Schiff base ligand, and by two Br atoms, forming a tetrahedral geometry. In the crystal structure, the molecules are linked through intermolecular N-H \cdot O and C-H \cdot Br hydrogen bonds, forming a three-dimensional network.

Dibromo{2,4-dichloro-6-[2-(diethylamino)-

ethyliminomethyl]phenolato}zinc(II)

Comment

Zinc is the second most abundant transition metal in biology, and functions as the active site of hydrolytic enzymes, such as carboxypeptidase and carbonic anhydrase, where it is in a hard donor coordination of nitrogen and oxygen (Lipscomb & Sträter, 1996; Bertini et al., 1994). Zinc has long been recognized as an important co-factor in biological molecules, either as a structural template in protein folding or as a Lewis acid catalyst that can readily adopt four-, five- or six-coordination (Harrison et al., 2006; Tirosh et al., 2005; Musie et al., 2004; Vallee & Auld, 1993). Recent reports have suggested that zinc is able to play a catalytic role in the activation of thiols as nucleophiles at physiological pH (Wilker & Lippard, 1997; Myers et al., 1993). We have reported the structures of a few transition metal complexes (Peng et al., 2005; Peng, Yang & Zhou, 2006; Peng, Zhou & Yang, 2006). As a continuation of this work, we report here a new zinc(II) complex, (I), derived from 2,4-dichloro-6-[2-(diethylamino)ethyliminomethyl] phenol.

The Zn atom in each of the two symmetry-independent complex molecules in (I) shows a tetrahedral geometry and is four-coordinated by the imine N and phenolate O atoms of the Schiff base ligand, and by two Br atoms (Fig. 1). As expected, the C8/C9/N2/C10–C13 unit adopts a zigzag geometry to minimize steric effects. Each amine N atom in the complex is protonated and does not coordinate to the metal ion. The $Zn-O$ and $Zn-N$ bond lengths (Table 1) are comparable to the values in other similar complexes (Peng, Zhou & Yang, 2006; Qiu, 2006; Tatar, Atakol & Arici, 2002; Tatar, Atakol & Ülkü, 2002; Ülkü et al., 2000). The angles subtended at atom

 \circ 2006 International Union of Crystallography All rights reserved

Figure 1

The asymmetric unit of (I), with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 30% probability level.

Zn1 range from $96.8(3)$ to $118.8(2)$ °, and the angles subtended at Zn2 range from 93.5 (3) to 115.1 (2) $^{\circ}$ (Table 1), indicating distorted tetrahedral coordination for the metal ions.

In the crystal structure, the molecules are linked through intermolecular $N-H\cdots O$ and $C-H\cdots Br$ hydrogen bonds (Table 2), forming a three-dimensional network, as shown in Fig. 2.

Experimental

3,5-Dichlorosalicylaldehyde (0.5 mmol, 96.3 mg) and N,N-diethylethane-1,2-diamine (0.5 mmol, 58.2 mg) were stirred into 30 ml of methanol. After 1 h, $ZnBr₂$ (0.3 mmol, 67.5 mg) in methanol (10 ml) was added, and the stirring continued for a further 1 h. The filtrate was kept at room temperature for about two weeks, depositing yellow needle-like crystals of (I).

Crystal data

Data collection

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.066$ $wR(F^2) = 0.130$ $S = 0.94$ 7708 reflections 389 parameters H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement

Block cut from needle, light yellow

15186 measured reflections 7708 independent reflections 4594 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{\rm int} = 0.075$ $\theta_{\text{max}} = 27.5^{\circ}$

 $w = 1/[\sigma^2 (F_o^2)]$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} < 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_\text{max}$ = 0.67 e \AA^{-3} $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.55$ e $\rm \AA^{-3}$ Absolute structure: Flack (1983), 3653 Friedel pairs. Flack parameter: -0.007 (13)

Figure 2

The crystal packing of (I). Intermolecular hydrogen bonds are shown as dashed lines. H atoms not involved in hydrogen bonding have been omitted for clarity.

Table 1 Selected geometric parameters (\mathring{A}, \circ) .

Symmetry codes: (i) $x + 1, -y, z + \frac{1}{2}$; (ii) $x + 1, -y + 1, z + \frac{1}{2}$; (iii) $x + 1, y, z$.

Atoms H2 and H4A were located in a difference Fourier map and were refined isotropically, with N—H distances restrained to 0.90 (1) Å. All other H atoms were positioned geometrically and refined as riding atoms, with C—H = 0.93–0.97 Å and $U_{\text{iso}}(H) = 1.2$ or $1.5U_{eq}(C)$.

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 2000); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2000); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXTL (Bruker, 2000); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXTL; molecular graphics: SHELXTL; software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

We acknowledge the Department of Chemistry and Environmental Engineering of the Changsha University of Science and Technology for research grants.

References

- Bertini, I., Gray, H. B., Lippard, S. J. & Valentine, J. S. (1994). Bioinorganic Chemistry. Mills Valley, CA: University Science Books.
- Bruker (2000). SMART (Version 5.625), SAINT (Version 6.01). SHELXTL (Version 6.10) and SADABS (Version 2.03). Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876–881.
- Harrison, W. T. A., Ramadevi, P. & Kumaresan, S. (2006). Acta Cryst. E62, m513–m515.
- Lipscomb, W. N. & Sträter, N. (1996). Chem. Rev. 96, 2375-2434.
- Musie, G. T., Li, X. & Powell, D. R. (2004). Acta Cryst. E60, m471–m472.
- Myers, L. C., Terranova, M. P., Frentz, A. E., Wagner, G. & Verdine, G. L. (1993). Science, 261, 1164–1167.
- Peng, S.-J., Yang, D.-W., Xiao, Z.-L., Wu, D.-X. & Cao, Z. (2005). Z. Kristallogr. New Cryst. Struct. 220, 633–634.
- Peng, S.-J., Yang, T. & Zhou, C.-S. (2006). Acta Cryst. E62, m235–m236.
- Peng, S.-J., Zhou, C.-S. & Yang, T. (2006). Acta Cryst. E62, m1147– m1149.
- Qiu, X.-Y. (2006). Acta Cryst. E62, m717–m718.
- Tatar, L., Atakol, O. & Arici, C. (2002). Acta Cryst. E58, m154–m156.
- Tatar, L., Atakol, O. & Ülkü, D. (2002). Acta Cryst. E58, m83-m85.
- Tirosh, E., Maman, R. & Goldberg, I. (2005). Acta Cryst. E61, m751– m754.
- Ülkü, D., Arici, C., Tahir, M. N. & Atakol, O. (2000). Acta Cryst. C56, 1298-1299.
- Vallee, B. L. & Auld, D. S. (1993). Acc. Chem. Res. 26, 543–551.
- Wilker, J. J. & Lippard, S. J. (1997). Inorg. Chem. 36, 969–978.